

REMARKS

In the above referenced Office Action, the Examiner divided the claims into the following groups:

Group I, Claims 82, 92, 97, 107, 117 and 118, drawn to a method for treating a patient having a wireless marker implanted within the patient;

Group II, Claims 119, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, and 130, drawn to an apparatus for locating and tracking a treatment target in a patient.

In addition, the Examiner requested an election of species from the following groups


- 1 Species A shown in Figure 1
- 2 Species B shown in Figure 15

In response, the applicants elect Group II, Species A, without traverse. Based on Applicant's review of the application Group II, Species A includes claims 119, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, and 130 without traverse. Non-elected claims 82-91, 92-96, 97-98, 107-116, 117, and 118 have been cancelled without prejudice to pursuing these claims in a continuation, divisional, continuation-in-part or other application.

Upon allowance of the generic claims, applicant(s) request considerations of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or which otherwise include all the limitations of the allowed generic claims.

Dated: 3/29/07

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Susan D. Betcher
Registration No.: 43,498
PERKINS COIE LLP
P.O. Box 1247
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247
(206) 359-8000
(206) 359-7198 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant